

### WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

pursuant to Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., on Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter referred to as "*the Act*")

for an above-threshold public supply contract entered in an open procedure pursuant to Section 56 of the Act

Name of the public contract:

### Low-temperature STM with magnetic field

(hereinafter referred to as the "public contract")

The tender procedure was published in the Tenders Electronic Daily under the contract registration number:

Z2025-015299

The Contracting Authority:

Charles University Faculty of Mathematics and Physics

Company Reg. No: 00216208 registered office: Ovocný trh 560/5, 116 36 Praha 1

#### 1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

Name of the Contracting Authority: Charles University,

**Faculty of Mathematics and Physics** 

Company Reg. No.: 00216208

Registered office: Ovocný trh 560/5, 116 36 Praha 1

Person authorized to represent the Contracting Authority:

doc. RNDr. Mirko Rokyta, CSc.

dean of the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics

Profile of the Contracting Authority at: <a href="https://zakazky.cuni.cz/profile\_display\_15.html">https://zakazky.cuni.cz/profile\_display\_15.html</a>

#### 2. SUBJECT OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

#### **Subject-matter of the public contract**

- **2.1** The subject-matter of the public contract is the supply of a low-temperature scanning tunnelling microscope (STM) with magnetic field, i.e., a device capable of imaging surfaces with atomic resolution according to the Contracting Authority's requirements.
- 2.2 The subject-matter of the public contract is further specified in the draft purchase contract (Annex No. 2 of the Documentation of procurement procedure) and in the technical specification of the public contract subject (Annex No. 4 of the Documentation of procurement procedure).

#### Classification of the subject of the public contract

**2.3** The Contracting Authority has defined the subject of the public contract according to the main vocabulary of the single classification system for public procurement purposes (Common Procurement Vocabulary):

CPV code:

38510000-3 Microscopes

38424000-3 Measuring and control equipment

#### 3. THE PRICE AGREED IN THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

**3.1** The price agreed in the public contract concluded with the selected economic operator amounts to:

560.000,- EUR without VAT.

#### 4. THE TYPE OF PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE USED

**4.1** The public contract was awarded in an open procedure according to Section 56 of the Act.

### 5. THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE PARTICIPANTS INCLUDING THEIR TENDER PRICES

#### **5.1** The identification of the participants:

Tender No.	Participant	Company Reg. No.	Registered office	Tender price of the participant (in EUR without VAT)
1.	Scienta Omicron GmbH	HRB 16116	Limburger Str. 75, 65232 Taunusstein, Germany	560.000,-

# 6. THE IDENTIFICATION OF ALL PARTICIPANTS EXCLUDED FROM THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE INCLUDING A STATEMENT OF GROUNDS FOR THEIR EXCLUSION

**6.1** No participant was excluded from the procurement procedure.

# 7. THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE ECONOMIC OPERATORS WITH WHICH A CONTRACT WAS CONCLUDED INCLUDING A STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR THEIR SELECTION

**7.1** The identification of the economic operators with which the contract was concluded:

#### **Selected economic operator**

Participant No. 1

Participant: Scienta Omicron GmbH

Company Reg. No: HRB 16116

Registered office: Limburger Str. 75, 65232 Taunusstein, Germany

#### **Justification**

#### The evaluated tender data

Tenders were evaluated in accordance with Section 114 (1) of the Act on the basis of their **economic advantageousness**.

The economic advantageousness of tenders were evaluated in accordance with Section 114 (2) of the Act, second sentence, on the basis of the **lowest tender price**.

The Contracting Authority has set the tender price as the only evaluation criterion, with the lowest tender price meaning the lowest price for the entire subject of the public contract, i.e. the total price for the supply of the low-temperature scanning tunnelling microscope (STM) with magnetic field according to the draft purchase contract in EUR without VAT.

#### Description of data evaluation

The Contracting Authority has set in accordance with Section 115 of the Act the **tender price** as the only evaluation criterion, while the **tenders were evaluated by ranking the tenders according to the tender** price from the tender with the lowest tender price to the tender with the highest tender price.

The most economically advantageous tender is the tender with the lowest tender price.

For the evaluation of the tenders are decisive tender prices without VAT.

#### The result of the evaluation of tenders

The tenders, according to the Section 122 (2) of the Act, were not evaluated, because there was only one participant in the procurement procedure at the time of tender evaluation.

#### The result of the assessment of the fulfilment of the conditions for participation

The economic operator fulfilled all the conditions for participation in the procurement procedure.

#### The result of the assessment of the abnormally low tender price

The abnormally low tender price was not identified in the economic operator's tender.

## 8. THE IDENTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACTORS OF THE ECONOMIC OPERATORS WITH WHICH THE CONTRACT WAS CONCLUDED

**8.1** The Contracting Authority is not aware of any subcontractors of the contracted suppliers.

## 9. A LIST OF PERSONS WHO WERE FOUND TO HAVE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND A LIST OF SUBSEQUENTLY ADOPTED MEASURES, WHERE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST WAS DETECTED

**9.1** No conflict of interest was detected for any person.

## 10. JUSTIFICATION FOR NOT DIVISION OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT INTO LOTS

- **10.1** The Contracting Authority did not divide the public contract into lots due to the close functional, material, temporal and local connection of the required services.
- **10.2** With regard to the nature of the required performance and identical range of potential economic operators, the Contracting Authority did not consider it expedient to divide the public contract into lots, and the failure to divide the public contract into lots did not restrict the economic competition.

In Prague on 09.07.2025

Charles University
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
pp KOUKAL LEGAL, advokátní
kancelář s.r.o.
JUDr. Pavel Koukal, lawyer and
Managing Partner