

WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

prepared in accordance with Section 217 of Act no. 134/2016 Sb., on public procurement
(the "PPA")

Designation of the public contract

Contract title: **UK-FF - KREAS: Radiocarbon dating of archaeological materials**

Public contract type: Services

Type of procedures: **Above-threshold public contract awarded in accordance with Section 3b and Section 56 of the PPA**

(the "PC").

1. Designation of the contracting authority

Charles University

Registered office: Ovocný trh 560/5, 110 00 Prague 1

ID no. 00216208

Tax ID no. CZ00216208

Unit involved: Faculty of Arts

Registered office: náměstí Jana Palacha 1/2, 116 38 Prague 1

Person authorized to act on

behalf of the contracting authority: Mgr. Eva Lehečková, Ph.D., Dean of the FA CU
(the "Contracting Authority")

2. Subject matter of the public contract

The subject matter of the performance under the public contract is radiocarbon dating of archaeological materials using AMS methods and related measuring of the stable isotopes $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ using the IRMS method, including an expert report on the measured results.

3. Agreed price for the PC

CZK 828,400 exclusive of VAT

4. Type of selection procedures

Above-threshold open procedures, in accordance with Section 3b of the PPA

5. Designation of participants in the selection procedures

Bid order number	1	2
Company name/first name and surname of supplier	UAB BARNAS (VILNIUS RADIOCARBON)	Ústav jaderné fyziky AV ČR, v. v. i.
Registered office/place of business of supplier	Savanorių pr. 231, LT-02300, Vilnius	Hlavní 130, 250 68, Husinec - Řež
ID no.	122761863	61389005

Total number of points received	82.65 points	90 points
Criteria		
Bid price – weight 80%	CZK 1,057,737 (62.65 points)	CZK 828,400 (80 points)
Period of performance – weight 20%	8 weeks (20 points)	16 weeks (10 points)

6. Designation of participants excluded from the selection procedures and justification of their exclusion

No participant was excluded from the selection procedures.

7. Designation of selected supplier and justification for the selection

Ústav jaderné fyziky AV ČR, v. v. i., Hlavní 130, 250 68 Husinec - Řež, ID no. 61389005

The principal evaluation criterion is the **economic value of the bid**. In accordance with Section 114(1 and 3) of the PPA, the Contracting Authority evaluated the economic value of the bid according to the following evaluation criteria, which are assigned the following weights:

Criterion no.	Name of criterion	Criterion weight
1	Bid price	80%
2	Period of performance	20%

The selected supplier submitted the bid with the highest total number of points for the above criteria.

8. Designation of sub-contractors for the selected supplier

The selected supplier indicated in the selection procedures the following sub-contractor during performance of the public contract: Isotoptech Zrt, Debrecen, Hungary, ID no. HU17781138

9. Justification for use of negotiated procedures with publication or procedures with a competitive dialogue

Procedures were not used for awarding the public contract.

10. Justification for use of negotiated procedures without publication

Negotiated procedures without publication were not used.

11. Justification for use of a simplified scheme

A simplified scheme was not used.

12. Justification for cancelling the selection procedures

The selection procedures were not cancelled.

13. Justification for use of other communication means for bid submission instead of electronic submission

The bids were submitted electronically. All actions for the selection procedures, as well as all communication between the Contracting Authority (or its representative) and the supplier, took place electronically via the electronic tool E-ZAK, available here: https://zakazky.cuni.cz/profile_display_13.html.

14. Persons for which a conflict of interests was discovered and specification of the measures adopted

No conflict of interests was discovered for any person.

15. Justification for not dividing the above-threshold PC into parts

It involves a comprehensive contract, and it was not appropriate for the Contracting Authority to divide the contract into parts.

16. Justification for establishing the requirement to demonstrate turnover using the procedure pursuant to Section 78(3) of the PPA

The Contracting Authority did not require proof of turnover using the procedure pursuant to Section 78(3) of the PPA.

In accordance with Section 217(3) of the PPA, the Contracting Authority refers to the Decision and Notification on Selection of the Supplier, dated 11 January 2022.

On behalf of the Contracting Authority:
In Prague, on 9th February 2022

.....
Mgr. Eva Lehečková, Ph.D.
Dean