



UNIVERZITA
KARLOVA

Written report of the contracting authority

pursuant to Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., on Public Procurement, as amended
(hereinafter referred to as "**the Act**")

about an above-threshold procurement procedure for the framework agreement
entered in an open procedure pursuant to Section 56 of the Act

Name of the procurement procedure:

Framework agreement for the provision of programming services

(hereinafter referred to as the "**procurement procedure**")

The tender procedure was published in the Tenders Electronic Daily under the contract registration
number:

Z2023-027578

The Contracting Authority:

Univerzita Karlova

Company Reg. No.: 00216208

with registered office: Ovocný trh 560/5, 116 36 Praha 1

1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

Name of the Contracting Authority:	Univerzita Karlova
Company Reg. No.:	00216208
Registered office:	Ovocný trh 560/5, 116 36 Praha 1
Person authorized to represent the Contracting Authority:	doc. RNDr. Mirko Rokyta, CSc. dean of the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
Profile of the Contracting Authority at:	https://zakazky.cuni.cz/profile_display_15.html

2. SUBJECT OF PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

Subject of procurement procedure

2.1 Subject of the procurement procedure is to conclude framework agreement with reopening of competition between the Contracting Authority and three economic operator (if the framework agreement won't be concluded with more economic operators in accordance with rules for the evaluations of tenders), on the basis of which public service contracts will be awarded.

Subject of performance of public contracts awarded under the framework agreement

2.2 Subject of the public contracts awarded under the framework agreement is the provision of programming services related to the software CLARIN-Dspace.

2.3 Subject of the public contracts awarded under the framework agreement is specified in general in the draft framework agreement (Annex No. 2 of the Documentation of procurement procedure) and in the technical specification (Annex No. 5 of the Documentation of procurement procedure).

Classification of the subject of public contracts awarded under the framework agreement

2.4 The Contracting Authority has defined the subject of a public contracts awarded under a framework agreement according to the main vocabulary of the single classification system for public procurement purposes (Common Procurement Vocabulary):

CPV code:

72243000-0 Programming services

3. THE PRICE AGREED IN THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

3.1 In the framework agreement there is no final price for the performance of the framework agreement. It clearly corresponds to the nature of the framework agreement.

3.2 In the framework agreement there are contained the following unit prices of Economic operator:

- a) The price for 1 hour worked by the Project manager during the performance of public contracts awarded under the framework agreement: **55,25 EUR without VAT;**
- b) The price for 1 hour worked by the Software architect during the performance of public contracts awarded under the framework agreement: **63 EUR without VAT;**
- c) The price for 1 hour worked by the Software programmer during the performance of public contract awarded under the framework agreement: **50 EUR without VAT.**

4. THE USED TYPE OF PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

4.1 The framework agreement was awarded in an open procedure according to § 56 of the Act.

5. THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE PARTICIPANTS

5.1 The identification of the participants, who submitted tenders:

Participant No.	Participant	Company Reg. No.	Registered office
1	dataquest s.r.o.	46960881	Velký Díel 3323, Žilina 010 08

6. THE IDENTIFICATION OF ALL PARTICIPANTS EXCLUDED FROM THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE INCLUDING A STATEMENT OF GROUNDS FOR THEIR EXCLUSION

6.1 No participant was excluded from the procurement procedure.

7. THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE ECONOMIC OPERATORS WITH WHICH A FRAMEWORK AGREEMENT WAS CONCLUDED, INCLUDING A STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR THEIR SELECTION

The selected economic operator

Participant No. 1

Participant: **dataquest s.r.o.**
 Company Reg. No.: 46960881
 Registered office: Velký Díel 3323, Žilina 010 08

Justification:

A description of the evaluation of tenders

Tenders for conclusion of the framework agreement are evaluated in accordance with Section 114 (1) of the Act on the basis of their economic advantageousness.

The economic advantageousness of tenders for conclusion of the framework agreement is evaluated in accordance with Section 114 (2) of the Act, second sentence, on the basis of the lowest tender price.

The Contracting Authority has set in accordance with Section 115 of the Act the tender price as the only evaluation criterion, while the tenders are evaluated by ranking the tenders according to the tender price from the tender with the lowest tender price to the tender with the highest tender price.

The most economically advantageous tender for conclusion of the framework agreement is the tender for conclusion of the framework agreement with the lowest tender price.

The result of the evaluation of tenders

The evaluation of tenders was not carried out because there was only one participant in the procedure procurement at the moment of evaluation.

The result of the assessment of an abnormally low tender price

In the participant's tender there was no abnormally low tender price identified.

The result of the assessment of the fulfilment of the conditions for participation in the procurement procedure

The participant fulfils all conditions for participation in the procurement procedure.

8. THE IDENTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACTORS OF THE ECONOMIC OPERATORS, WHERE THEY ARE KNOWN TO THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

8.1 The contracting authority don't know any subcontractors of the economic operator, with which a framework agreement was concluded.

9. A LIST OF PERSONS WHO WERE FOUND TO HAVE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND A LIST OF SUBSEQUENTLY ADOPTED MEASURES

9.1 No conflict of interest was detected for any person.

10. A JUSTIFICATION OF NO DIVISION OF AN ABOVE-TRESHOLD PUBLIC CONTRACT INTO LOTS

10.1 The contracting authority didn't divide the public contract (resp. the procurement procedure for the conclusion of the framework agreement) into lots due to the close functional, material, temporal and local connection of the required services.

10.2 With regard to the nature of the required performance and the identical range of potential suppliers, the contracting authority didn't consider it expedient to divide the public contract (resp. the procurement procedure for the conclusion of the framework agreement) into lots, while the non-dividing of the public contract (resp. the procurement procedure for the conclusion of the framework agreement) into lots didn't restrict economic competition.

In Prague on 09.10.2023

Univerzita Karlova

pp KOUKAL LEGAL, advokátní
kancelář s.r.o.

JUDr. Pavel Koukal, lawyer and
Managing Partner