

## WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

prepared in accordance with Section 217 of Act no. 134/2016 Sb., on public procurement  
(the "PPA")

### Designation of the public contract

Contract title: **UK-FF – KREAS: Radiocarbon dating of archaeological materials**

Public contract type: **Services**

Type of procedures: **Above-threshold public contract awarded in accordance with Section 3b and Section 56 of the PPA**

(the "PC").

### 1. Designation of the contracting authority

#### Charles University

Registered office: **Ovocný trh 560/5, 110 00 Prague 1**

ID no. **00216208**

Tax ID no. **CZ00216208**

Unit involved: **Faculty of Arts**

Registered office: **náměstí Jana Palacha 1/2, 116 38 Prague 1**

Person authorized to act on

behalf of the contracting authority: **Mgr. Eva Lehečková, Ph.D., Dean of the FA CU**  
(the "Contracting Authority")

### 2. Subject matter of the public contract

The subject matter of the performance under the public contract is radiocarbon dating of archaeological materials using AMS methods and related measuring of the stable isotopes  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  using the IRMS method, including an expert report on the measured results.

### 3. Agreed price for the PC

**CZK 828,400 exclusive of VAT**

### 4. Type of selection procedures

**Above-threshold open procedures, in accordance with Section 3b of the PPA**

### 5. Designation of participants in the selection procedures

| Bid order number                                | 1   | 2   |
|---|---|---|
| Company name/first name and surname of supplier | <b>UAB BARNAS (VILNIUS RADIOCARBON)</b>     | <b>Ústav jaderné fyziky AV ČR, v. v. i.</b> |
| Registered office/place of business of supplier | <b>Savanorių pr. 231, LT-02300, Vilnius</b> | <b>Hlavní 130, 250 68, Husinec - Řež</b>    |
| ID no.  | <b>122761863</b>                            | <b>61389005</b>                             |

|  |                                 |                            |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Total number of points received</b> | <b>82.65 points</b>             | <b>90 points</b>           |
| <b>Criteria</b>                        |                                 |                            |
| Bid price – weight 80%                 | CZK 1,057,737<br>(62.65 points) | CZK 828,400<br>(80 points) |
| Period of performance – weight 20%     | 8 weeks<br>(20 points)          | 16 weeks<br>(10 points)    |

#### **6. Designation of participants excluded from the selection procedures and justification of their exclusion**

No participant was excluded from the selection procedures.

#### **7. Designation of selected supplier and justification for the selection**

**Ústav jaderné fyziky AV ČR, v. v. i.**, Hlavní 130, 250 68 Husinec - Řež, ID no. 61389005

The principal evaluation criterion is the **economic value of the bid**. In accordance with Section 114(1 and 3) of the PPA, the Contracting Authority evaluated the economic value of the bid according to the following evaluation criteria, which are assigned the following weights:

| <b>Criterion no.</b> | <b>Name of criterion</b> | <b>Criterion weight</b> |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1                    | Bid price                | 80%                     |
| 2                    | Period of performance    | 20%                     |

The selected supplier submitted the bid with the highest total number of points for the above criteria.

#### **8. Designation of sub-contractors for the selected supplier**

The selected supplier indicated in the selection procedures the following sub-contractor during performance of the public contract: Isotoptech Zrt, Debrecen, Hungary, ID no. HU17781138

#### **9. Justification for use of negotiated procedures with publication or procedures with a competitive dialogue**

Procedures were not used for awarding the public contract.

#### **10. Justification for use of negotiated procedures without publication**

Negotiated procedures without publication were not used.

#### **11. Justification for use of a simplified scheme**

A simplified scheme was not used.

## **12. Justification for cancelling the selection procedures**

The selection procedures were not cancelled.

## **13. Justification for use of other communication means for bid submission instead of electronic submission**

The bids were submitted electronically. All actions for the selection procedures, as well as all communication between the Contracting Authority (or its representative) and the supplier, took place electronically via the electronic tool E-ZAK, available here: [https://zakazky.cuni.cz/profile\\_display\\_13.html](https://zakazky.cuni.cz/profile_display_13.html).

## **14. Persons for which a conflict of interests was discovered and specification of the measures adopted**

No conflict of interests was discovered for any person.

## **15. Justification for not dividing the above-threshold PC into parts**

It involves a comprehensive contract, and it was not appropriate for the Contracting Authority to divide the contract into parts.

## **16. Justification for establishing the requirement to demonstrate turnover using the procedure pursuant to Section 78(3) of the PPA**

The Contracting Authority did not require proof of turnover using the procedure pursuant to Section 78(3) of the PPA.

In accordance with Section 217(3) of the PPA, the Contracting Authority refers to the Decision and Notification on Selection of the Supplier, dated 11 January 2022.

On behalf of the Contracting Authority:  
In Prague, on 9<sup>th</sup> February 2022

.....  
Mgr. Eva Lehečková, Ph.D.  
Dean